# Kink-like Solutions for the FPUT Lattice and the mKdV as a Modulation Equation

Trevor Norton

March 27th, 2023

Acknowledgement: This research was supported in part by the US National Science Foundation through grant DMS-1813384.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## The FPUT System

メロト メロト メヨト メヨト

### The FPUT lattice

The Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou (FPUT) lattice is an infinite set of differential equations posed on Z

$$\ddot{x}_n = V'(x_{n+1} - x_n) - V'(x_n - x_{n-1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 (FPUT)

where V(x) is the potential. Alternatively, it can be written in the strain variables  $u_n = x_n - x_{n-1}$ 

$$\ddot{u}_n=V'(u_{n+1})-2V'(u_n)+V'(u_{n-1}),\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

- Modeled the thermalization of solid.
- Researchers numerically computed solutions of the FPUT on a large, finite grid with potential  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3$  and got a surprising result.

Starting with energy concentrated in lowest mode:



In the short-term, we see the expected behavior.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Starting with energy concentrated in lowest mode:



Eventually, the system has a near-recurrence of initial condition ( $\approx$  97% of energy returns to lowest mode)!

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

### The KdV as a modulation equation

▶ It was shown in [ZK65] that the Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation (after a rescaling ) is a continuum limit for the FPUT with potential  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{6}x^3 + \cdots$ 

$$u_t - 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0 \tag{KdV}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

The KdV has soliton solutions

$$-\frac{c}{2}\mathrm{sech}^2\left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{2}(x-ct)\right)$$

Solitons can pass through each other without changing shape. This helps explain the recurrence.

### Some results

- Solitary wave solution of FPUT has profile that can be approximated by the profile of the soliton solution. Solitary wave solution is stable [FP99, FP02, FP03, FP04].
- ► Asymptotic stability in front of the solitary wave in l<sup>2</sup> [Miz09]. Later expanded to N-solitary wave solutions [Miz13].
- KdV can be used to approximate small-amplitude, long-wavelength solutions [SW00, KP17].

### The $\beta$ -FPUT chain

When V(x) = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>x<sup>2</sup> − <sup>1</sup>/<sub>24</sub>x<sup>4</sup> + ··· the continuum limit for the FPUT is given now by the defocusing modified KdV (mKdV)

$$u_t - 6u^2 u_x + u_{xxx} = 0 \qquad (m K dV)$$

which has kink solutions given by

$$\varphi_c(x+ct) = \sqrt{rac{c}{2}} \tanh\left(\sqrt{rac{c}{2}}(x+ct)
ight).$$

- In [PRC19] the recurrence was studied numerically, and it seems to be driven in part by kink-like solutions to the FPUT.
- There are few rigorous results explaining the relationship between the FPUT with this potential and the defocusing mKdV and its kinks.

イロン イ団 とく ヨン イヨン

1. Show that there exists a traveling wave solution whose profile can be approximated using the kink solution of the defocusing mKdV.

- 1. Show that there exists a traveling wave solution whose profile can be approximated using the kink solution of the defocusing mKdV.
- 2. Show more general solutions of the FPUT can be approximated with solutions of the defocusing mKdV.

- 1. Show that there exists a traveling wave solution whose profile can be approximated using the kink solution of the defocusing mKdV.
- 2. Show more general solutions of the FPUT can be approximated with solutions of the defocusing mKdV.
- 3. Show that the kink-like solution of the FPUT is stable.

- 1. Show that there exists a traveling wave solution whose profile can be approximated using the kink solution of the defocusing mKdV.
- 2. Show more general solutions of the FPUT can be approximated with solutions of the defocusing mKdV.
- 3. Show that the kink-like solution of the FPUT is stable.

The problems of existence and stability are common in the study of traveling wave solutions of PDEs.

### Existence of the Kink-Like Solution

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・

### Strategies to show existence

 [FP99] set up a fixed point argument to get the profile of the solitary traveling wave.

- Took a Fourier transform
- Defined a map from  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$  to itself where the fixed point is the profile of the solitary wave solution
- ► This is difficult to replicate for the kink-like solution since its profile cannot lie in H<sup>1</sup>(ℝ).
- In [loo00], a center manifold reduction to show the existence of traveling wave solutions of the FPUT.
  - The existence of the solution we are interested is proved, but its profile was not estimated.
  - Want to show that the profile is close to  $\varphi_1$  under re-scaling.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Outline of argument

- 1. Follow the construction of the center manifold given by looss, while carefully keeping track of the explicit terms.
- 2. Show that (under a change of coordinates) that as we send  $c \nearrow 1$  the profile will be exactly  $\varphi_1$ .
- 3. Apply Fenichel theory to show that when c < 1, the profile of the kink-like solution stays near  $\varphi_1$ .

### Traveling wave ansatz

We make the assumption that the solution is a traveling wave with speed c > 0;

$$x_n(\tilde{t}) = x(n-c\tilde{t})$$

so that x(t) satisfies the advance-delay differential equation

$$\ddot{x}(t) = \mu \Big( V'(x(t+1) - x(t)) - V'(x(t) - x(t-1)) \Big)$$

where  $\mu = c^{-2}$ .

- Want to convert this into a semi-dynamical system.
- Typically, for second order differential equations, we can take ξ(t) = x(t) and rewrite the equation as a first order system.
- ► To deal with the delay terms, we take a "slice" of the function x(t) from [t-1, t+1]: X(t, v) where  $v \in [-1, 1]$ .

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Abstract ODE

Let  $U(t) = (x(t), \xi(t), X(t, \cdot))$  so that the advance-delay equation becomes

$$\partial_t U = L_\mu U + M_\mu(U)$$

where

$$L_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2\mu & 0 & \mu(\delta^{1} + \delta^{-1}) \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_{v} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$M_{\mu}(U) = \mu(0, g(\delta^{1}X - x) - g(x - \delta^{-1}X), 0)^{T}$$

Second component is the advance-delay equation and third component  $\partial_t X = \partial_v X$  just shifts the "slice"  $X(t, \cdot)$  forward in time.

### Center manifold

- ► looss shows that when µ = µ<sub>0</sub> = 1, L<sub>µ0</sub> has a quadruple zero eigenvalue and the rest of the spectrum is uniformly bounded away from iR.
- Thus we can find a four-dimensional center manifold parameterized by  $\mu$ .
- One of our coordinates partially decouples from the system, so we can reduce the original system by one dimension and get a three-dimensional center manifold instead.
- Solutions on the center manifold of our reduced system are given by

$$W = A\zeta_1 + B\zeta_2 + C\zeta_3 + \Phi_{\mu}(A, B, C)$$

### Computing dynamics on the center manifold

- Using the facts that (1) the center manifold is invariant and (2) the image of Φ<sub>μ</sub> is orthogonal to the generalized eigenvectors, we can compute terms in the Taylor series of Φ<sub>μ</sub> and the equations of motion for A, B, C
- We still get something messy.
- Idea: we are looking for small-amplitude, long-wavelength solutions, so we will introduce a smallness parameter ε and make a change of variables, neglecting higher orders of ε
  - $c^2 = 1 \epsilon^2 / 12$
  - $A(t) = \epsilon \underline{A}(\epsilon t), \ B(t) = \epsilon^2 \underline{B}(\epsilon t), \ C(t) = \epsilon^3 \underline{C}(\epsilon t).$

### Computing dynamics on the center manifold

Then the equations of motion on the center manifold become

$$egin{array}{lll} \underline{A}' &= \underline{B} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \ \underline{B}' &= \underline{C} \ \underline{C}' &= -\underline{B} + 6\underline{A}^2\underline{B} - 2\epsilon V^{(5)}(0) \cdot \underline{A}^3\underline{B} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \end{array}$$

where ' is the derivative with respect to  $s = \epsilon t$ .

• Formally setting  $\epsilon = 0$  gives a system equivalent to

$$\underline{A}^{\prime\prime\prime} + \underline{A}^{\prime} - 6\underline{A}^{2}\underline{A}^{\prime} = 0$$

which has  $\underline{A}(s) = \varphi_1(s)$  as a solution.

This corresponds to a heteroclinic orbit on the center manifold. Showing that this persists for \(\epsilon > 0\) will give us the estimate we want.

### Persistence of heteroclinic orbit

- To show that the heteroclinic orbit persists, we show that it lies on the intersection of a stable and unstable manifold that intersect transversally for e = 0.
- Define the manifolds

$$\overline{M} = \{ (\underline{A}, 0, 0, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : |(\underline{A} + 1/\sqrt{2}, \epsilon)| \le \delta \}$$
  
$$\overline{N} = \{ (\underline{A}, 0, 0, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{R}^4 : |(\underline{A} - 1/\sqrt{2}, \epsilon)| \le \delta \}$$

for  $\delta > 0$  small enough.

- The flow is hyperbolic in the normal directions on the manifolds, and so M has an unstable manifold M<sub>ε</sub> and N has a stable manifold N<sub>ε</sub>, both continuously parameterized by ε.
- We want to show that a transverse intersection occurs at *ϵ* = 0. We have the exact dynamics in this case, and can find *M*<sub>0</sub> and *N*<sub>0</sub> explicitly.



Figure: The above figure shows the stable and unstable manifolds for  $\epsilon = 0$ . The manifolds  $\mathcal{M}_0$  and  $\mathcal{N}_0$  have a transverse intersection at p = (0, 1/2, 0).

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Since the stable and unstable manifolds vary continuously with respect to  $\epsilon$ , this will give us a result. However, we can strengthen the result by assuming more about V(x):

(H1) 
$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{24}x^4 + \mathcal{O}(x^5)$$
  
(H2)  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{24}x^4 + \mathcal{O}(x^6)$   
(H3)  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{24}x^4$ 

- If (H1) holds, then the profile will be  $\epsilon$  close to  $\varphi_1$ .
- If (H2) holds , then the manifolds vary continuously with respect to ε<sup>2</sup> and we can improve the estimate to order ε<sup>2</sup>.
- If (H3) holds, then the asymptotic limits of the solution on the center manifold will be the same as φ<sub>1</sub> and the two will differ by an H<sup>1</sup> function.

#### Theorem 1

There exists  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and C > 0 such that for every  $\epsilon > (0, \epsilon_0]$  there is a traveling wave solution given by  $u_n(t) = u_c(n - ct)$  with positive wave speed  $c^2 = 1 - \epsilon^2/12$ . Furthermore, we have the additional estimates on the wave profile of  $u_c$ .

► If (H1) holds, then

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\epsilon}u_{c}\left(\frac{\cdot}{\epsilon}\right)-\varphi_{1}\right\|_{C^{3}}\leq C\epsilon$$

▶ If (H2) holds, then

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\epsilon}u_{c}\left(\frac{\cdot}{\epsilon}\right)-\varphi_{1}\right\|_{C^{3}}\leq C\epsilon^{2}$$

▶ If (H3) holds, then

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\epsilon}u_{c}\left(\frac{\cdot}{\epsilon}\right)-\varphi_{1}\right\|_{H^{3}}\leq C\epsilon$$

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

### Long-time approximations of FPUT solutions

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

### Long-time approximations

- In [SW00], it is shown that the KdV serves as a modulation equation for counter-propagating wave solutions of the FPUT with potential V(x) = <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>x<sup>2</sup> + <sup>1</sup>/<sub>6</sub>x<sup>3</sup> + ···. The approximation holds for small-amplitude, long-wavelength solutions for e<sup>-3</sup> time.
- ► A similar result is shown in [KP17] for a single traveling wave solution, but for time scales of order e<sup>-3</sup> log |e|. This allows one to show meta-stability of solitary wave solutions from orbital stability of the KdV solitary waves.

► Traveling wave ansatz: assume solution of section 1 with potential  $V(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{24}x^4$  can be expressed as

$$u_n(t) \approx \epsilon f(\epsilon(n+t), \epsilon^3 t) + \epsilon g(\epsilon(n-ct), \epsilon^3 t) + \epsilon^3 \phi(\epsilon n, \epsilon t)$$

where f has fixed non-zero limits  $f_{\pm\infty}$  at positive and negative infinity and

$$c = c(\epsilon, f_{\infty}) = 1 - rac{\epsilon^2 f_{\infty}^2}{4}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### Modulation equations

Plugging in the ansatz, we get that the approximation holds formally up to  $\epsilon^5$  if  $f,g,\phi$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} 2\partial_2 f &= -\frac{1}{6}\partial_1(f^3) + \frac{1}{12}\partial_1^3 f \\ &- 2\partial_2 g = -\frac{1}{6}\partial_1(g^3 + 3f_\infty g^2) + \frac{1}{12}\partial_1^3 g, \\ \partial_2^2 \phi(\xi, \tau) &= \partial_1^2 \phi(\xi, \tau) - \frac{1}{6}\partial_1^2 \big[ 3(f^2(\xi + \tau, \epsilon^2 \tau) - f_\infty^2)g(\xi - c\tau, \epsilon^2 \tau) \\ &+ 3(f(\xi + \tau, \epsilon^2 \tau) - f_\infty)g^2(\xi - c\tau, \epsilon^2 \tau) \big] \\ \phi(\xi, 0) &= \partial_1 \phi(\xi, 0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

### Proof strategy

- 1. Show that the interference term remains uniformly bounded on the time scale
  - Show  $(f f_\infty) \cdot g$  decays rapidly in time
- 2. Show that the error remains of order  $\epsilon^3$  on the time scale
  - Usually done by choosing an appropriate energy function to bound the error terms



Figure: The function  $f(\epsilon(x + t)) - f_{\infty}$  (shown in blue) moves to the left while  $g(\epsilon(x - ct))$  (shown in orange) moves to the right. Since they are localized, the product (shown by the dotted line) will quickly decay in time.

・ロト ・回 ト ・ ヨト ・

### Defining localized functions

#### Definition 1

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathcal{X}^k(\mathbb{R})$  be the Banach space

$$\mathcal{X}^{k}(\mathbb{R}) := \{ f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \mid f' \in H^{k-1}(\mathbb{R}) \}$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}^k(\mathbb{R})} := \|f\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} + \|f'\|_{H^{k-1}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

- Denote  $\langle x \rangle = (1 + x^2)^{1/2}$
- We then define a Banach space X<sup>k</sup><sub>n</sub>(ℝ) to be functions in X<sup>k</sup> that approach their limits at infinity at a rate of ⟨x⟩<sup>n</sup>.
- Similarly, we have  $H_n^k(\mathbb{R})$  to be the Banach space with the weighted norm  $\|f(x)\langle x\rangle^n\|_{H^k}$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

### Bounding interference term

#### Assumption 1

Assume that

 $f \in C([- au_0, au_0],\mathcal{X}_2^6(\mathbb{R}))$  and  $g \in C([- au_0, au_0],H_2^6(\mathbb{R}))$ 

for some  $\tau_0 > 0$  fixed. Furthermore, assume that f has fixed limits in its spatial variable at  $\pm \infty$  given by  $f_{\pm \infty}$ .

- ▶ This assumption says that  $f f_{\infty}$  and g remain localized for some amount of time.
- This implies that the interaction term φ(·, εt) remains uniformly bounded in H<sup>k</sup> for t ∈ [-ε<sup>-3</sup>τ<sub>0</sub>, ε<sup>-3</sup>τ<sub>0</sub>]

### FPUT as first-order system

We rewrite lattice equations as a first-order system

$$\dot{u}_n = q_{n+1} - q_n,$$
  
 $\dot{q}_n = u_n - u_{n-1} - rac{1}{6}(u_n^3 - u_{n-1}^3),$   $n \in \mathbb{Z}.$ 

This is a Hamiltonian system where

$$\dot{U} = J\mathcal{H}'(U)$$

where U = (u, q), J is the skew-symmetric, and  $\mathcal{H}(U) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{2}q_n^2 + V(u_n)$ . The fact that we have a natural choice for an energy function  $\mathcal{H}(U)$  will be

used later.

### Introducing the error terms

We assume solution to the first-order system is the ansatz plus some small error terms U(t) and Q(t)

$$u_n(t) = \epsilon f(\epsilon(n+t), \epsilon^3 t) + \epsilon g(\epsilon(n-ct), \epsilon^3 t) + \epsilon^3 \phi(\epsilon n, \epsilon t) + \mathcal{U}_n(t)$$
  
$$q_n(t) = \epsilon F(\epsilon(n+t), \epsilon^3 t) + \epsilon G(\epsilon(n-ct), \epsilon^3 t) + \epsilon^3 \Phi(\epsilon n, \epsilon t) - \epsilon F_{-\infty} + \mathcal{Q}_n(t)$$

► The *F*, *G*, and  $\Phi$  terms are chosen so that  $\dot{u}_n(t) \approx q_{n+1} - q_n$  (ignoring the error terms) and so later residuals remain small.

Assumption 2

Assume that

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{u}_n(0) = \epsilon F_{+\infty} - \epsilon F_{-\infty}.$$

• The assumption and  $-\epsilon F_{-\infty}$  terms are so that  $Q \in \ell^2$ .

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン

### Equations for error terms

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathcal{U}}_n(t) &= \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(t) - \mathcal{Q}_n(t) + \operatorname{Res}_n^{(1)}(t) \\ \dot{\mathcal{Q}}_n(t) &= \mathcal{U}_n(t) - \mathcal{U}_{n-1}(t) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon f(\epsilon(n+t)) + \epsilon g(\epsilon(n-ct)) + \epsilon^3 \phi(\epsilon n))^2 \mathcal{U}_n(t) \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon f(\epsilon(n-1+t)) + \epsilon g(\epsilon(n-1-ct)) + \epsilon^3 \phi(\epsilon(n-1)))^2 \mathcal{U}_{n-1}(t) \\ &+ \operatorname{Res}_n^{(2)}(t) + \mathcal{B}_n(\epsilon f + \epsilon g + \epsilon^3 \phi, \mathcal{U}) \end{split}$$

- We get the following from plugging in our ansatz
   Res<sup>(1)</sup>(t), Res<sup>(2)</sup>(t) are residual terms
- $\mathcal{B}_n(\epsilon f + \epsilon g + \epsilon^3 \phi, \mathcal{U})$  is a nonlinear term of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

### Bounding residuals and non-linear terms

$$\blacktriangleright \text{ Define}$$

$$\delta = \max \left\{ \sup_{\tau \in [-\tau_0, \tau_0]} \|f(\cdot, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{X}_2^6}, \sup_{\tau \in [-\tau_0, \tau_0]} \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{H_2^6} \right\}$$

From the choice of  $F, G, \Phi$  we can get the bound

$$\|\operatorname{Res}^{(1)}(t)\|_{\ell^2} + \|\operatorname{Res}^{(2)}(t)\|_{\ell^2} \le C\epsilon^{11/2}(\delta + \delta^5)$$

We also have a bound on the nonlinear term

$$\|\mathcal{B}_n(\epsilon f + \epsilon g + \epsilon^3 \phi, \mathcal{U})\|_{\ell^2} \leq C \epsilon [(\delta + \epsilon^2 \delta^3) \|\mathcal{U}\|_{\ell^2}^2 + \|\mathcal{U}\|_{\ell^2}^3]$$

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

### Energy function

The equation for the error terms (ignoring the residuals and nonlinear terms) is essentially a non-autonomous Hamiltonian system. Thus we have the natural choice of an energy function

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{E}(t) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{Q}_n^2(t) + \mathcal{U}_n^2(t) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \left( \epsilon f(\epsilon(n+t), \epsilon^3 t) + \epsilon g(\epsilon(n-ct, \epsilon^3 t) + \epsilon^3 \phi(\epsilon n, \epsilon t))^2 \mathcal{U}_n^2(t) \right) \end{split}$$

This energy bounds the error terms

$$\|\mathcal{Q}(t)\|_{\ell^2}^2+\|\mathcal{U}(t)\|_{\ell^2}^2\leq 4\mathcal{E}(t),\quad\text{for }t\in(-\tau_0\epsilon^{-3},\tau_0\epsilon^{-3}).$$

And we have the following inequality

$$\left|\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{dt}\right| \leq C\mathcal{E}^{1/2}\left[\epsilon^{11/2}(\delta+\delta^5)+\epsilon^3\delta^2\mathcal{E}^{1/2}+\epsilon(\delta+\mathcal{E}^{1/2})\mathcal{E}\right]$$

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Assumption 3

Suppose that the initial conditions for u satisfy

 $\|u(0) - \epsilon f(\epsilon \cdot, 0) - \epsilon g(\epsilon \cdot, 0)\|_{\ell^2} + \|\dot{u}(0) - \epsilon^2 \partial_1 f(\epsilon \cdot, 0) + \epsilon^2 \partial_1 g(\epsilon \cdot, 0)\|_{\ell^2_2} \leq \epsilon^{5/2}$ 

and that  $f(\cdot,0)\in\mathcal{X}_2^6$  and  $g(\cdot,0)\in\mathcal{H}_2^6$ 

This assumption and the bound on \|\frac{d\varepsilon}{dt}\| allow us to use a Grönwall type argument to get the following Theorem.

#### Theorem 2

Let assumption 1 hold and set

$$\delta = \max\left\{\sup_{\tau \in [-\tau_0, \tau_0]} \|f(\cdot, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{X}_2^6}, \ \sup_{\tau \in [-\tau_0, \tau_0]} \|g(\cdot, \tau)\|_{\mathcal{H}_2^6}\right\}$$

There exists positive constants  $\epsilon_0$  and C such that for all  $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ , when initial data  $(u(0), \dot{u}(0))$  satisfy assumptions 2 and 3, the unique solution (u, q) to the FPU equation section 3 belongs to

$$C^1([-t_0(\epsilon), t_0(\epsilon)], \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}))$$

with  $t_0(\epsilon) := \epsilon^{-3} \tau_0$  and satisfies

$$egin{aligned} &\|u(t)-\epsilon f(\epsilon(\cdot+t),\epsilon^3 t)-\epsilon g(\epsilon(\cdot-ct),\epsilon^3 t)\|_{\ell^2}\ &+\|\dot{u}(t)-\epsilon\partial_1 f(\epsilon(\cdot+t),\epsilon^3 t)+\epsilon^2\partial_1 g(\epsilon(\cdot-ct),\epsilon^3 t)\|_{\ell^2}\leq C\epsilon^{5/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $t \in [-t_0(\epsilon), t_0(\epsilon)]$ .

\*Under certain assumptions this can be extended to  $\epsilon^{-3}|log(\epsilon)|$  time.

## Stability

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

### Stability of the kink-like solution

- There are several notions of stability for traveling wave solutions
  - Linear stability
  - Orbital stability
  - Asymptotic stability
- We will focus only on the weakest form of stability, which is linear stability with the goal to eventually show asymptotic stability.
- Idea: first demonstrate linear stability of kink solution to mKdV then use this to prove linear stability of the kink-like solution to the FPUT.

### Spectrum of linearization around $\varphi_c$

- $\blacktriangleright$  We linearize around the kink solution  $\varphi_{\rm c},$  changing to a co-moving frame.
  - The essential spectrum is  $i\mathbb{R}$ , so we don't have spectral stability
- Redefining on an exponentially weighted space L<sup>2</sup><sub>a</sub> gives a new operator A<sub>a</sub>. The essential spectrum is given by

$$S_e^a = \{-(ik-a)^3 + 2c(ik-a) \mid k \in \mathbb{R}\},\$$

- The point spectrum to the right of  $S_e^a$  is found using the Evans function for  $A_a$ .
- Only eigenvalue to the right of  $S_e^a$  is  $\lambda = 0$ , which is simple.



Figure: The spectrum of  $A_a$  for  $0 < a < \sqrt{2c/3}$ . The essential spectrum  $S_e^a$  is contained in the left-hand side of  $\mathbb{C}$ . The only eigenvalue to the right of  $S_e^a$  is the simple eigenvalue  $\lambda = 0$ .

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

### Smoothing and decay results

- ► If we remove the zero eigenvalue using a projection operator, then we will have the remainder of the spectrum bounded away from *i*ℝ and in the left-half plane of C.
- Thus we can show the following result, which gives us smoothing and decay in the semigroup generated by A<sub>a</sub>.

#### Theorem 3

Assume that  $0 < a < \sqrt{2c/3}$  and that the spectral projection for  $A_a$  associated with  $\lambda = 0$  is given by P. Let I - P = Q. Then  $A_a$  is the generator of a  $C^0$  semigroup on  $H^s$  for any real s, and, for any b > 0 such that the  $L^2$ -spectrum  $\sigma(A_a) \subset \{\lambda \mid \text{Re}(\lambda) < -b\} \cup \{0\}$ , there exists C such that for all  $w \in L^2$  and t > 0,

$$\|e^{A_a t} Q w\|_{H^1} \leq C t^{-1/2} e^{-bt} \|w\|_{L^2}.$$

#### Stability

### Sketch of the proof of linear stability of kink-like solution

- In [Miz13], the asymptotic stability of N-solitary wave solutions of the FPUT was shown. We follow a similar argument.
- We have an approximate solution to the FPUT where

$$u_{\epsilon}(t,n) = \begin{pmatrix} r_{\epsilon}(t,n) \\ -r_{\epsilon}(t,n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

and  $r_{\epsilon}(t,x) = \epsilon \varphi_1(\epsilon(x - c_{\epsilon}t))$ 

• If  $u_{\epsilon}(t) + \gamma(t)$  is the kink solution, then the linearized equation is

$$\partial_t w(t) = JH''(u_\epsilon(t) + \zeta(t))w(t)$$

• Goal: show for every  $t > s \ge 0$ 

$$\|e^{\epsilon a(\cdot-c_{\epsilon}t)}w(t)\|_{\ell^{2}} \leq M e^{-b\epsilon^{3}(t-s)}\|e^{\epsilon a(\cdot-c_{\epsilon}s)}w(s)\|_{\ell^{2}}.$$

given some orthogonality conditions on w(t).

Trevor Norton

### Sketch of the proof of linear stability of kink-like solution

- 1. Take a discrete Fourier transform of the linear equation.
- 2. Break the problem up into low-frequency, mid-frequency, high-frequency parts of the Fourier transform.
- 3. The mid-frequency and high-frequency parts can be controlled directly, while the low-frequency part is controlled using the decay estimates of the linearization around the kink solutions of the mKdV.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Stability

### References I

### Gero Friesecke and Robert L Pego.

Solitary waves on FPU lattices: I. qualitative properties, renormalization and continuum limit.

Nonlinearity, 12(6):1601, 1999.

Gero Friesecke and Robert L Pego.

Solitary waves on FPU lattices: II. linear implies nonlinear stability. *Nonlinearity*, 15(4):1343, 2002.

Gero Friesecke and Robert L Pego. Solitary waves on Fermi–Pasta–Ulam lattices: III. Howland-type floquet theory. *Nonlinearity*, 17(1):207, 2003.

Gero Friesecke and Robert L Pego. Solitary waves on Fermi–Pasta–Ulam lattices: IV. proof of stability at low energy. Nonlinearity, 17(1):229, 2004.

### References II

Gérard looss.

Travelling waves in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattice. *Nonlinearity*, 13(3):849, 2000.



Amjad Khan and Dmitry E Pelinovsky. Long-time stability of small FPU solitary waves. Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems, 37(4):2065, 2017.



Tetsu Mizumachi.

Asymptotic stability of lattice solitons in the energy space. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 288:125–144, 2009.



Tetsu Mizumachi.

Asymptotic stability of N-solitary waves of the FPU lattices. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 207:393–457, 2013.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

### References III

Salvatore D Pace, Kevin A Reiss, and David K Campbell. The β Fermi-Pasta-Ulam-Tsingou recurrence problem. Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science, 29(11):113107, 2019.

Guido Schneider and C Eugene Wayne.

Counter-propagating waves on fluid surfaces and the continuum limit of the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam model.

In Equadiff 99: (In 2 Volumes), pages 390-404. World Scientific, 2000.

Norman J Zabusky and Martin D Kruskal.

Interaction of "solitons" in a collisionless plasma and the recurrence of initial states.

Physical review letters, 15(6):240, 1965.

### Questions?

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲国▶ ▲国▶